

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,166	07/10/2003	Randall Eric Swanson	2295-004	4355	
20575	7590 10/30/2006		EXAMINER		
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C. 210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400 PORTLAND, OR 97204			ZIRKER, D	ZIRKER, DANIEL R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771	, ,	
	•		DATE MAILED: 10/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_ (
	'Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/618,166	SWANSON, RANDALL ERIC			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Daniel Zirker	1771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS y statute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>09 August 2006</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 18-32</u> is/are pending in	the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 18-32</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers		·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docu					
<u> </u>	uments have been received in Appl				
	e priority documents have been rec	ceived in this National Stage			
application from the International E		anti-rod			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies flot rec	erved.			
Attachment(s)	□	(DTO 440)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application			

Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. Claims 3,4, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. More particularly, in claim 3 "film" is believed synonymous with "plastic film", and in claim 24 "layer" lacks antecedent basis in the amended claim 1.
- 3. Claims 18-23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. More particularly, in claim 18 the deletion of the "applied layer to the upper surface" is believed to be critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). That is, the specification is not believed to teach embodiments which comprise only a central flexible sheet having adhesive on the lower surface and being ready to paint on the upper surface of the flexible sheet.
- 4. Claims 1-5 and 18-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Owens et al, taken either individually, or alternatively as evidence of the state of the art in view of Swallow for claims 1-5, 20, 31 and 32; for claim 5 in view of the FASSON trade publication, and for claims 21-23 in view of Estrada, substantially for reasons of record, together with the following additional observations. More particularly, with respect to applicants' remarks (page 7, first complete paragraph) the Examiner believes that the presence of such limitations as the patch having a substantially

Application/Control Number: 10/618,166

Art Unit: 1771

uniform thickness and the presence of non-uniform serrations are each believed to be parameters that are well within the skill of the ordinary artisan, and with respect to the presence of pre-textured ready to paint layer(s) such embodiments, e.g. appear to be admitted as known (spec, page 1, lines 26-27) to those in the art. As concerns the closed language of claims 30-32 the Examiner believes that one of ordinary skill would still find the claimed structures to be either set forth or rendered obvious in the relied upon prior art. As regards the Declarations of Jerry E. Brower and the accompanying Exhibits, as well as the Declaration of Gale Bruns the Examiner believes that if the Declarations had been admitted in proper form (i.e. dated) they (particularly Brower's) might present a strong case for both commercial success and solving a long felt need, but unfortunately since neither of the Declarations have been dated the Examiner believes that they cannot be given any substantive weight. Finally, the Examiner also makes the observation that in copending case SN 10/728,647 which has very closely related claims to the present application Mr. Swanson has just been added as a coinventor (along with the originally present Mr. Brower), and the Examiner makes the observation that he is confused by this since in the present application Mr. Swanson, standing alone, is the original inventor, with Mr. Brower not being included as a coinventor. Clarification is requested.

5. Claims 1-5 and 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffman, Sr. '949, taken either individually, or alternatively as evidence of the state of the art in view of Swallow for all of the rejected claims, and for claim 5 in view of the FASSON publication, substantially for reasons already of record, together with the

Application/Control Number: 10/618,166

Art Unit: 1771

following additional observations. The Examiner has little to add to what has been set forth in the preceeding paragraph, noting again that the non dated Declarations of Jerry E.Brower and Gale Bruns are unfortunately not entitled to be given any weight at this time.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Zirker whose telephone number is 571-272-1486. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:30 to 6:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on 571 - 272 - 1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Zirker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1771

Daniel Zukin